

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
00,030,184	Ç4/26/00	OTAMAY		Y 425-774P		
C 003292 PM82/1102			¬ [.	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			B/	BAKER,A		
PO DOX 747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHURCH	VA 22040-0)747	36	54 I ·	8	
			DAT	E MAILED:	1/02/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/530,184

Applicant(s)

Yamato

Examiner

Aileen J. Bak r

Art Unit 3641



The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, be considered timely. 	ation.
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 2</u>	24, 2001
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under	be except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 9	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved b)□disapproved.
12) . The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International But *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	·
,_	
Attachment(s)	49) Distanciono Summano (DTO 413) Papar Na (a)
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	

Application/Control Number: 09530184

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claim 9 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 6.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Claim 9 is drawn to a method of generating gas whereas claim 1 is drawn to a composition. This composition could also be used as an igniter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nielson et al(6,224,099).

Nielson et al discloses a gas generating composition that comprises guanidine nitrate and ammonium perchlorate. The composition also includes sodium carbonate. Other ingredients are included such as binders, burn rate modifiers, and slag formers. The particular amounts of the fuel and the oxidizer are not disclosed.

4

Application/Control Number: 09530184

Art Unit: 3641

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to vary the amounts of the fuel and oxidizer in the composition of Nielson et

Page 3

al so that it effectively works as a gas generant in a supplemental restraint system.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Knowlton et al(6,235,132 and 5,780,768) and Lundstrom et al(6,093,269).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Aileen Baker whose telephone number is (703) 306-5751. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

MICHAEL J. CARON

DUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED